

**CITY OF DAVIS**  
**2003-2004 CDBG/HOME APPLICANT QUESTIONS WITH RESPONSES**

**Name of Organization:** City of Davis-Alternative Recreation

**Project Title:** Inclusive Recreation

**1.) If law requires Inclusive Recreation services, why shouldn't the cost of these services be included in the recreation program fees?**

By law, the City can't charge individuals who need ADA assistance to participate in recreation programs a fee that is higher than the fee charged to an individual who does not need assistance. In addition, state regulations restrict the ability of cities to charge fees that are more than the cost of providing the service in order to cover other expenses (such as ADA support).

**2.) Does it cost \$52,649 to provide Inclusive Recreation services or are other services included in the total?**

\$52,649 is the total cost of providing the Inclusive Recreation program to the community. The CDBG funds requested (\$14,200) covers direct costs. The remaining expenditures (\$38,449) covers contractual expenses and the coordination of the program.

**3.) The proposal says that if CDGB funding is cut, the Council will consider cutting other programs for the disabled – including Alternative Recreation.**

- A.) Specifically, what other programs for people with disabilities would be considered for cuts?**
- B.) Why would it only be programs for people with disabilities that would be cut to support this project as opposed to other City programs?**
- C.) Are the other disability programs that would be considered of lower priority than Inclusive Recreation? If not, why would they be cut to support this program?**

- A. The City Council could consider eliminating or reducing the Alternative Recreation (a program specifically for the disabled) and/or recreation programs for the mentally ill (funded through a grant to Pine Tree Gardens.) if funding for Inclusive Recreation was eliminated.
- B. The City Council could eliminate funding for a wide variety of programs if funding for Inclusive Recreation was eliminated. Many of the recreation programs, however, are not subsidized; elimination of a non-subsidized program would not result in cost savings. Elimination of Alternative Recreation, however, would result in significant cost savings because it is highly subsidized. For this reason, staff believes that the City Council would consider reducing or eliminating Alternative Recreation funding if funding for the Inclusive Recreation program was eliminated.
- C. Some members of the disabled community prefer the Inclusive Recreation program; others prefer Alternative Recreation; some participate in both programs. As a result, it is difficult to assess the "priority" for the two programs.